

# PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Excellent	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
	Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	50.8	44.0	45.6	57.5	54.7	55.5	
Passed 2 subtests	18.5	12.0	16.2	20.0	19.6	20.6	
Passed 1 subtest	10.8	21.3	14.7	13.1	14.9	14.1	
Passed no subtests	20.0	22.7	23.5	9.4	10.9	9.5	

PERFORMANCE BY ST	TUDENT (	GROUPS					
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All 0(1(-	<b>n</b> 57	%	n	%	n	%	
All Students	5/	87.7	57	5.3	61	82.0	
Gender	00	00.0		0.0	22	20.0	
Male	33	93.9	30	3.3	33	90.9	
Female	24	79.2	27	7.4	28	71.4	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	29	79.3	30	3.3	34	70.6	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	28	96.4	27	7.4	27	96.3	
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	2	I/S	0	N/A	4	I/S	
Students without disabilities	55	90.9	57	5.3	57	87.7	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	57	5.3	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	56	89.3	57	5.3	60	83.3	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	32	84.4	38	2.6	7	99.0	
Full-pay meals	24	95.8	19	10.5	54	35.2	
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated					

# Percent of Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions\* Seniors who met the SAT requirement 5.3 6.0 Seniors who met the grade point average 47.4 45.4

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Lake View High		
		1701002

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 368)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	0.6% 95.1%	Down from 1.1% Down from 97.8%	8.5% 95.4%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	3.2% 9.3%	Down from 3.8% Up from 7.8%	4.6% 13.7%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	9.0% 0.8%	Up from 8.0% Down from 8.2%	12.9% 2.4%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	6.0% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.4% 28.5%	Down from 1.1% Down from 28.7%	3.6% 2.3%	2.7% 3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 179	Up from 146	309	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	55.6%	Down from 65.6%	19.4%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.0%	Down from 82.7%	70.8%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.2%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	34.8% 78.3%	Up from 28.0% Up from 68.0%	42.4% 75.1%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 83.0%	81.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.3% \$36,852	Up from 95.2% Up 1.6%	95.8% \$37,784	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	14.6 days	Up from 11.5 days	10.0 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	12.0 N/R	Up from 11.0 N/R	3.0 25.1 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.5% \$6,446	Down from 89.8% Up 0.9%	89.8% \$6,597	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	51.7% Poor	Down from 52.1% Down from Good	53.1% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	47.9% no	Down from 52.4% N/A	82.2% yes	87.8% yes
o/ too doorouitation	110	14/14	yos	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

# **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Lake View High

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Lake View High School is excited about the potential of our youth, school, and community. Individual students and school organizations continue to excel and garner local and state awards for their efforts. We are encouraged by the character exemplified daily by our students. Recent graduates continue to attend and achieve in secondary education and the workforce, becoming productive and valuable members of society.

Many changes are occurring at Lake View High School, providing our students with the best opportunity for an education. Our staff has participated in workshops, seminars, and other staff development opportunities to strengthen our skills and reinforce our commitment to excellence. Students are provided more opportunities to develop their skills through programs such as The Accelerated Reader, laptop computers, palm pilots, SAT workshops and software packages for remediation and enrichment. Emphasis has increased on reading and writing skills within the curriculum and programs are in place for during and after school remediation.

Obstacles continue to exist in our school and district. Federal, State, and District budget woes, teacher recruitment, lagging test scores, and meeting the provisions of the federal No Child Left Behind Act provide challenges that must be overcome to ensure that a quality education is obtainable for each child. Reaching and continuing a level of excellence on SAT, ACT, PACT, BSAP, and AP test scores is not only desired, but necessary. In the 2003-04 school year, the valuable expertise of teacher specialists in Math, English, and Science will allow a stronger curriculum and provide resources to strengthen teacher preparation and delivery. Additional remediation and enrichment programs will be offered to our students, each of whom will be encouraged to participate responsibly.

Lake View High School is committed to improving the quality of education that our youth receive. We know that all of our stakeholders, including students, parents, teachers, and members of the community, share this commitment. We welcome and encourage the involvement of all.

Edison Arnette, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	25	64	74				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	68.0%	71.0%	65.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	80.0%	70.3%	59.4%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	36.0%	74.6%	62.5%				

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.